Immunoterapia e HSCT: un'evoluzioone continua nel trattamento della Leucemia Acuta Linfoblastica (dell'adulto) con Blinatumomab

Alessandro Rambaldi

Dipartimento di Oncologia e Ematologia- Università di Milano

ASST Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo

Disclosure statement

Company name	Research support	Employee	Consultant	Stockholder	Speakers bureau	Advisory board	Other
Amgen			~			v	v
Pfizer			~				
Novartis			 Image: A second s			 Image: A second s	
Kite Gilead			~			~	~
Jazz			~			 Image: A second s	 Image: A second s
Omeros			 Image: A second s			 Image: A set of the set of the	~
Incyte			 Image: A second s				
Sanofi			~				
Pierre Fabre			 Image: A second s			 Image: A second s	
Italfarmaco			~			~	~

ALL Is a Rare but Often Fatal Disease That May Occur at Any Age¹

In 2022, about 6,660 new cases of ALL and 1,560 deaths due to ALL in the US¹



Adult patients generally have poorer survival rate outcomes compared with children, especially as they age²

ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; US, United States.

1. National Cancer Institute. Cancer Stat Facts: Leukemia – ALL. <u>https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/alvl.html</u>. Accessed September 7, 2022. 2. National Cancer Institute. Cancer Statistics Explorer Network: ALL. <u>https://seer.cancer.gov/statistics-network/explorer</u>. Accessed September 7, 2022.

GIMEMA LAL1913: the first Italian prospective phase II study evaluating an intensive chemotherapy backbone and MRD risk-oriented model in untreated ALL adult patients



Treatment phase	Drugs	Dosing and administration	Days
Prephase	Prednisone Cyclophosphamide	20 mg/m²PO q12h 300 (200 if age >55) mg/m² IV over 30'	-5 to -1 -3 to -1
Course 1	ldarubicin Vincristine Dexamethasone Pegaspargase IT prophylaxis(†)	12 (9 if age >55) mg/m ³ IV over 30' 1.4 mg/m ³ (max. 2 mg) IV push 5 mg/m ³ IV over 5' q12h 2000 (1000 if age >55) IU/m ³ IV over 120'	1,2 1,8,15,22 1-5, 15-19 10 1,15
Courses 2,4,6	Vincristine Idarubicin Cyclophosphamide Dexamethasone Cytarabine Pegaspargase 6-mercaptopurine IT prophylaxis (†)	1.4 mg/m ² (max. 2 mg) IV push 12 (9 if age >55) mg/m ² IV over 30' 1000 mg/m ² IV over 60' 5 mg/m ² PO q12h 75 mg/m ² SC 2000 (1000 if age >55) IU/m ² IV over 120' 60 mg/m ² PO q12h	1,8 (no course 2) 1 1-5 2-5 8 (no course 4) 1-10 1 (and 15, course 2)
HD courses 3,7	Methotrexate Cytarabine	2500 (B), 5000 (T), 1500 (age >55) mg/m² IV over 24h; FAR 2000 mg/m² IV q12h	1 3,4
	A	O destrict 50 and Descention of the	

Methotrexate 12.5 mg, Cytarabine 50 mg, Dexamethasone 4 mg (or Methylprednisolone 40 mg)

Treatment phase	Drugs	Dosing and administration	Days
HD course 5	Methotrexate Pegaspargase 6-mercaptopurine	2500 (B), 5000 (T), 1500 (age >55) mg/m² IV over 24 h; FAR 2000 (1000 if age >55) IU/m² IV over 120' 25 mg/m² PO	1 3 8-18
Course 8	Vincristine Idarubicin Dexamethasone Cyclophosphamide Prednisone IT prophylaxis (†)	1.4 mg/m ² (max. 2 mg) IV push 10 (/5 if age >55) mg/m ² IV over 30' 5 mg/m ² PO q12h 300 (200 if age >55) mg/m ² IV over 30' 20 mg/m ² PO q12h	1,8 1,8 1-5 1-3 8-12 1,15
Maintenance courses 1,3,5,7,9,11	Cyclophosphamide 6-mercaptopurine Methotrexate IT prophylaxis (†)	100 mg/m² PO 75 mg/m² PO 15 mg/m² PO/IM	1-4 8-28 8,15,22 1 (courses 3,5)
courses 2,4,6,8,10,12	Vincristine Prednisone 6-mercaptopurine Methotrexate IT prophylaxis (†)	1 mg/m² (max. 2 mg) IV push 20 mg/m² PO q12h 75 mg/m² PO 15 mg/m² PO/IM	1 1-5 8-28 8,15,22 1 (courses 2,4)
courses 13-24	6-mercaptopurine Methotrexate	75 mg/m ² PO 15 mg/m ² PO/IM	8-28 1,8,15,22

Bassan R et al.: Blood Advances 22 AUGUST 2023 VOLUME 7, NUMBER 16, 4448-4461

Pegaspargase-modified risk-oriented program for adult ALL: results of the GIMEMA LAL1913 trial: DFS

C) DFS, the primary study objective compared with prior GIMEMA study LAL 0904: median was not reached; 2-year rate, 70% (95% CI, 63-77) vs 45% (95% CI, 39-51); and 3-year rate, 63% (95% CI, 56-71) vs 38% (95% CI, 38-44), P < .0001

D) 3-year DFS per ITT risk-oriented therapy: chemotherapy, 74% (95% CI. 65-83), allogeneic HCT, 50% (95% CI, 39-63), P = .0022

E) 3-year DFS in the ITT allogeneic HCT group per time-dependent HCT realization: HCT, 75% (95% CI, 55-89) vs no HCT, 26% (95% CI, 15-45), P < .0001

F) Cumulative incidence of TRM during induction (ID) and CR, and of resistance/relapse (Res/Rel) based on B- or T-ALL/LL diagnosis



Efficacy outcomes support the use of protocol GIMEMA LAL1913 in Italian clinical practice for treatment of adult patients with Ph- B-ALL

How to improve the outcome of both MRD+ and MRD- BP-ALL patients?

Blinatumomab MoA Summary: A BiTE® Molecule Designed to Bridge T Cells to CD19-Expressing Cancer Cells, Inducing Apoptotic Cell Death¹



BiTE®, Bispecific T Cell Engager; CD, cluster of differentiation; mAb, monoclonal antibody; MoA, mechanism of action.

1. Baeuerle PA, et al. Cancer Res. 2009;69:4941-4944. 2. Bargou R, et al. Science. 2008;321:974-977. 3. Klinger M, et al. Blood. 2012;119:6226-6233. 4. Hoffmann P, et al. Int J Cancer. 2005;115:98-104.



Int. J. Cancer: 115, 98-104 (2005) © 2005 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Serial killing of tumor cells by cytotoxic T cells redirected with a CD19-/CD3-bispecific single-chain antibody construct

Patrick Hoffmann¹, Robert Hofmeister¹, Klaus Brischwein¹, Christian Brandl¹, Sandrine Crommer¹, Ralf Bargou², Christian Itin¹, Nadja Prang¹ and Patrick A. Baeuerle^{1*} ¹Micromet AG, Munich, Germany

²Robert-Rössle-Clinic, University Medical Center Charité, Humboldt University of Berlin, and Helios Kliniken, Berlin, Germany







Tumor Regression in Cancer Patients by Very Low Doses of a T Cell–Engaging Antibody

Ralf Bargou,^{1,2,*} Eugen Leo,^{3,*}† Gerhard Zugmaier,³ Matthias Klinger,³ Mariele Goebeler,^{1,2} Stefan Knop,² Richard Noppeney,⁴ Andreas Viardot,⁵ Georg Hess,⁶ Martin Schuler,⁷ Hermann Einsele,² Christian Brandl,³ Andreas Wolf,³ Petra Kirchinger,³ Petra Klappers,³ Margit Schmidt,³ Gert Riethmüller,⁸ Carsten Reinhardt,³ Patrick A. Baeuerle,³[±] Peter Kufer³

15 AUGUST 2008 VOL 321 SCIENCE



Leukemia & Lymphoma, June 2009; 50(6); 886-891

informa healthcare

D

E

REVIEW

Immunotherapy of lymphoma and leukemia with T-cell engaging BiTE antibody blinatumomab

DIRK NAGORSEN¹, RALF BARGOU², DOMINIK RÜTTINGER¹, PETER KUFER¹, PATRICK A. BAEUERLE¹, & GERHARD ZUGMAIER¹

¹Micromet AG, Staffelseestr, 2, 81477 Munich Germany and ²Department of Hematology and Oncology and Phase I Unit of the Comprehensive Cancer Center Mainfranken, University Hospital Würzburg, Klinikstraße 6-8, 97070 Würzburg, Germany

(Received 20 January 2009; revised 25 March 2009; accepted 1 April 2009)



a-CD3 Monoclo





T cell

NALM-6 B-precursor leukaemia cell

CD19

Targeted apoptotic leukaemia cells

Blinatumomab for minimal residual disease in adults with B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia

KEY POINTS

- Among adults with MRD-positive ALL in hematologic remission after chemotherapy, 78% achieved a complete MRD response with blinatumomab.
- Complete MRD response after blinatumomab treatment in this population was associated with significantly improved OS.



Front-line treatment of adult BP-ALL

The power of blinatumomab-containing, pediatric-inspired programs for both MRD- and MRD+ adult ALL ECOG-ACRIN E1910: A Global, Randomized, Controlled, Phase 3 Trial of Blinatumomab Alternating With Chemotherapy vs Chemotherapy Alone in Frontline Consolidation in Adult Patients With Ph– B-ALL

ECOG-ACRIN E1910 phase 3 Trial: *OS in MRD-negative patients*

Blinatumomab for MRD-Negative Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Adults: the E1910 randomized phase 3 trial

B Subgroup Analysis

	-	Chemotherapy		-
Subgroup	Blinatumomab	Only	Hazard Ratio fo	r Death (95% CI)
	no. of deaths	total no.		
All MRD-negative patients	17/112	40/112		0.41 (0.23-0.73)
Age				
<55 yr	4/66	21/65	-	0.16 (0.05-0.47)
≥55 yr	13/46	19/47		0.66 (0.33-1.35)
Combined molecular risk				
Favorable	0/19	6/28		0.00
Intermediate	2/22	5/19		0.32 (0.06-1.65)
Unfavorable	12/50	24/45		0.39 (0.19-0.78)
BCR::ABL1-like genotype				
BCR::ABL1-like	2/16	7/15		0.28 (0.06-1.36)
Not BCR::ABL1-like	15/96	33/97		0.40 (0.22-0.74)
Transplantation intended				
Yes	6/36	13/35		0.40 (0.15-1.05)
No	11/76	27/77		0.37 (0.18-0.75)
CD20 status				
Positive	7/45	16/46		0.43 (0.18-1.04)
Negative	1/26	7/26 🔫	-	0.13 (0.02-1.05)
Rituximab use				
Yes	5/33	14/36		0.38 (0.14-1.06)
No	3/38	9/36 🔫		0.28 (0.08-1.03)
		0.1	12 0.25 0.50	1.00 2.00
		-	Blinatumomab	Chemotherapy Only

Better

Better

Litzow MR et al.: N Engl J Med 2024;391:320-33. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2312948

Upfront Blinatumomab Improves MRD Clearance and Outcome in Adult Ph- B-lineage ALL. The GIMEMA LAL2317 Phase 2 Study





Bassan R. et al, Blood (2025) in press

Clinically meaningful benefit in patients who received at least one cycle of blinatumomab during the early treatment phase

Median follow-up: 38.1 months



Bassan R. et al, Blood (2025) in press

The role of AlloHSCT in the Blina era

Indications for alloHSCT in young adults with Ph-negative AL

OS and RFS Among MRD- Patients Who Underwent AlloHCT

Time-dependent analysis confirmed the therapeutic advantage of allo-HSCT

Blinatumomab maintenance after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for B-lineage ALL



MAIN RESULTS

- 12/ 23 pts (57%) completed all 4 cycles (17 pts were alive at the end of the study; 6 pts relapsed)
- With a median follow up of 14 3 months, the 1year OS, PFS, and non relapse mortality rates were 85%, 71% and 0%. CIR,29%
- The cumulative incidence of acute GVHD grades 2 to 4 and 3 to 4 were 33% and 5%, respectively; 2 cases of mild (10%) and 1 case of moderate (5%) chronic GVHD were noted
- In a matched analysis with a contemporary cohort of 57 patients, no significant difference between groups regarding blinatumomab's efficacy
- Responders had greater numbers of CD3, CD4, CD160 T cells compared with non responders. In addition, responders had higher levels of CD8 T cells after therapy
- Blinatumomab is safe and feasible for use in B-ALL after allogeneic HCT
- The composition of a patient's T-cell subsets at the time of treatment is indicative of whether they will respond to blinatumomab

ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; MRD, minimal residual disease; HCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; HR, high-risk; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; NRM, non relapse mortality Gaballa M, et al. Blood 2022 Mar 24;139(12):1908-1919.

The role of alloHSCT in the D-ALBA trial

GIMEMA ALL 2820 trial

GIMEMA ALL 2820 trial: *the biologically-driven allo-SCT allocation reduced the rate of transplant*

	N=16
Age, median (range)	49 (27-67)
>65 years	1 (27)
Gender: M/F (%)	13/3 (50/50)
WBC, median (range)	23 (2-207)
p190	10
p210, p190/210	5, 1
IKZF1 ^{plus}	9

Reasons for transplant

- MRD persistence (n=7)
- IKZF1^{plus} (n=9)
- Both (n=5)

So far, no relapses nor transplant-related deaths

Blinatumomab for Relapse/Refractory ALL

Management of relapsed/refractory ALL before Immunotherapy

Salvage therapy of R/R ALL is associated with very poor outcomes

Only ~1 in 5 patients achieve CR following second or greater salvage therapy



Blinatumomab versus Chemotherapy for Advanced Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

27

Single Agent Subcutaneous Blinatumomab for Advanced Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ID: NCT04521231) Study Design



*Patients in the dose-expansion phase were enrolled between October 24, 2022, and July 31, 2023. The data cutoff date was September 15, 2023.² ¹In order to reduce tumor burden and the incidence of tumor lysis syndrome, low dose chemotherapy and/or dexamethasone was recommended prior to the start of SC blinatumomab in cycle 1. The recommended doses and schedule were as follows: dexamethasone IV or orally 10 mg/m²/day divided every 8 hours to a maximum of 24 mg/day for up to 4 days and/or cyclophosphamide IV 200-300 mg/m²/dose daily for up to 4 days, with a total maximum dose of 1,200 mg/m² and/or vincristine 1–2 mg IV given as a single dose.¹

B-ALL, B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia; IV, intravenously; PD, pharmacodynamics; PK, pharmacokinetics; QD, once daily; R/R, relapsed/refractory; SC, subcutaneous; TIW, three times weekly.

1. Jabbour E, et al. Am J Hematol. 2024;99:586–595. (supplemental material). 2. Jabbour E, et al. Am J Hematol. 2024;99:586–595.

Pharmacokinetics of blinatumomab following subcutaneous administration

- Median time to maximum concentration ranged from approximately 6–12h.
- Dose-related increase in exposure was observed over the dose range.
- Mean apparent elimination half-life was approximately 8–12 h after repeat dosing.
- An apparent increase in half-life for SC blinatumomab (8–12 h vs. 2 h for cIV) allowing for convenient three times in a week TIW dosing after the first 7 days



Best hematologic response within two cycles after treatment initiation

Response category	250 μg/500 μg dose (N = 14)	500 μg/1000 μg dose (N = 13)
CR	10 (71.4)	12 (92.3)
CRh	2 (14.3)	0
CR/CRh	12 (85.7)	12 (92.3)
MRD-negative in patients with CR/CRh	9 (75.0)	12 (100.0)
Not evaluated	2 (14.3)	1 (7.7)

Jabbour E et al.: Am J Hematol. 2024

Demographics

Patients' characteristics

Characteristics	Cohort 250-500 (n=4)	Cohort 500-1000 (n=7)
Age, median (range)	65,5 (29-69)	59 (27-70)
Gender		
F	1 (25%)	3 (43%)
М	3 (75%)	4 (57%)
Diagnosis		
ALL B Ph-	1 (25%)	4 (57%)
ALL B Ph-like	1 (25%)	0
ALL B Ph+	2 (50%)	3 (43%)
Status at enrollment		
1st relapse/refractoriness	2 (50%)	5 (72%)
≥2nd relapse	2 (50%)	2 (28%)

Previous therapies

Previous therapies	Cohort 250-500 (n=4)	Cohort 500-1000 (n=7)	
N previous therapies			
1	0	3 (43%)	
2	1 (25%)	0	
3	1 (25%)	2 (28%)	
4	1 (25%)	1 (14%)	
5	1 (25%)	1 (14%)	
Previous blina IV			
No	1 (25%)	5 (72%)	
Yes	3 (75%)	2 (28%)	
Previous inotuzumab			
No	1 (25%)	4 (57%)	
Yes	3 (75%)	3 (43%)	
Previous HSCT			
No	4 (100%)	3 (43%)	
Yes	0	4 (57%)	

Response

#	Dosage (µg)	Response C1D12
1	250-500	CR MRD-
2	500-1000	CR PNQ
3	500-1000	CR MRD-
4	500-1000	CR MRD-
5	500-1000	CR MRD-
6	500-1000	CR PNQ
7	250-500	CR MRD+
8	250-500	CR MRD+
9	500-1000	CR MRD+
10	250-500	CR MRD-
11	500-1000	CR MRD NV



All relapses (3/11) after SC Blinatumomab were characterized by CD19 loss

Confidential Please do not post

The GOLDEN GATE study: a phase III multicenter, randomized, controlled trial with blinatumomab alternating with low-intensity chemo in older patients with ND Ph- B-ALL



Golden Gate study design



The GOLDEN GATE study: a 76 years-old lady enrolled into the experimental arm

- Sept 2024: diagnosis of Ph negative B-ALL with *BCR-ABL* like features (complex karyotype, deletion of ABL2 and CRFL2 rearrangement in FISH)
- Comorbidities:
 - cerebral vasculopathy
 - arterial hypertension
- Enrolled in Golden Gate trial experimental arm
- Sept-Oct 24 Induction-1 (low dose chemo+blina)
 Klebsiella pneumoniae KPC+ and E. coli ESBL+ sepsis

CRS G2, ICANS G1, Steroid-related diabetes

Nov-Dec 24 Induction-2 (blina)

Dec 2024: initial disease assesment: CR with <u>MRD negativity</u> on PB and PNQ on BM

- Dec-Jan 2024: Consolidation-1 (iv MTX)
 - G3 ESBL+ E. coli sepsis
- Feb-Mar 25: Consolidation-2 (blina)

Disease assesment post Cons-2: CR with MRD negativity

- Mar-Apr 25 Consolidation-3 (low dose chemo+blina)
- Consolidation-4 (iv MTX) ongoing

The GOLDEN GATE study: a 67 years-old gentleman enrolled into the experimental arm

- July 2024: diagnosis of Ph negative B-ALL NOS per WHO 2022 (EGIL B-II, CD20 negative)
- Comorbidities:

٠

- arterial hypertension
- benign prostatic hypertrophy
- Enrolled in Golden Gate trial, standard arm (GMALL) Sept-Oct 24 Induction-1 (low dose chemo+blina)

- 2 ESBL+ E. coli sepsis, perianal abscess requiring surgery)
- Very slow hematological recovery
- No MUD or MMUD available for allogeneic transplant
- Post Ind-1 assessment: CR with MRD positivity (10^-3)



ACADEMIA TRIAL: International, multicenter, randomized Phase 3 trial with Blinatumomab SC in frontline



1° endpoint	Key 2° endpoints	Population
EFS: time from randomization (enrollment) until treatment failure defined as no CR/CRi or MRD \geq 10-4 after Induction 2, molecular relapse \geq 10-3, relapse, death for any cause whichever is earlier	 OS QOL other efficacy endoints Safety 	Adults 18-55 years with ND B- ALL Ph- Simple size: 450 pts (225 per Arm)

4 Countries and groups involved: GIMEMA - Italy, HOVON - Netherlands, PETHEMA- Spain and NCRI AALL - Uk

37

Conclusions

- Immunotherapy of ALL is changing the therapeutic landscape of adult ALL
- Targeting MRD is crucial for optimizing the therapeutic strategy, to avoid transplant when not necessary as well as to maximize its therapeutic value
- The front-line use of blinatumomab will decrease indication to transplant but transplant remains the consolidation treatment of choice for HR patients
- The SC formulation of blinatumomab has different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties which favor higher rates of response

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS





Renato Bassan

Sabina Chiaretti

Silvia Ferrari Anna Grassi Alessandra Algarotti Benedetta Rambaldi Giuliana Rizzuto Maria Chiara Finazzi Marta Castelli Monica Rondi Davide Murgia

Tamara Intermesoli Giulia Quaresmini

Federico Lussana

Giuseppe Gritti

The Hematology and Transplant Team

Gianluca Cavallaro

The Molecular Lab

Cristian Meli Anna Salvi Manuela Tosi Roberta Cavagna Clara Belotti Silvia Salmoiraghi Orietta Spinelli Laboratorio di Terapia Cellulare Gilberto Lanzani Martino Introna Josee Golay Elisa Gotti Silvia Panna Irene Cattaneo Olga Pedrini Chiara Capelli



100

Sistema Socio Sanitario

